

11D-27/8 Ronan

Ronan Megannety

From: Bernie Conaghan <tbconaghan@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday 26 August 2026 12:21
To: Appeals2
Subject: ABP 321436-24 - Substitute Consent
Attachments: 26th August 2026 abp32143624.docx

You don't often get email from tbconaghan@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Caution: This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Please see attached letter giving our formal objection for application of substitute consent for development at Meenbog windfarm.

Kind regards
Timothy & Bernadette Conaghan
Philips Cottage
Tieveclougher
Killygordan
Co Donegal
F93 R5P8

26th August 2026

Timothy & Bernadette Conaghan

Philips Cottage

Tieveclougher

Killygordan

Co Donegal

F93 R5P8

REFERENCE: ABP 321436-24

To whom it may concern

We wish to give formal objection to the proposed recommencement of the wind farm development at Meenbog Windfarm at Meenbog, Croaghonagh, Cashelnavean, Tawnawully Mountains, Friarsbush, Ardinawark, Keadew Upper, Keadew Lower and Cullinboy townlands, near the twin towns of Ballybofey and Stranorlar, Co. Donegal.

We are concerned about the proposed new roads required to facilitate the development. The construction of roads on or near potentially unstable ground could involve significant excavation, drainage alterations, removal of vegetation and changes to natural watercourses and groundwater patterns. These works could increase the risk of erosion, runoff and further ground movement.

The proximity of the proposed works to my home is an additional and serious concern. Construction traffic, excavation, blasting or heavy machinery, changes to drainage, noise, vibration, dust and the physical alteration of the surrounding landscape could have a substantial impact on the safety, residential amenity and enjoyment of my property. Including distress to my livestock.

We are also concerned about the significant risk posed by the development to the stability of the land. This concern is not hypothetical. The previous works was associated with a serious landslide, which demonstrates that the area is capable of experiencing significant ground instability when subjected to development and associated construction activity.

We request that the planning authority do not consider the proposed development in light of previous failure and landslide. The protection of nearby homes, residents, livestock and surrounding environment should take precedence over the facilitation of further development where there is credible evidence of ground instability. I therefore request that the proposed development and associated road construction be refused.

I ask that this objection be formally recorded and fully considered as part of the planning assessment.

Kind regards

Timothy & Bernadette Conaghan

